

Serial No. 10/702,236

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 09 2007

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A computer implemented method for screening a subject for disorders of glucose metabolism, comprising steps of:
- 5 measuring a glucose concentration profile using a glucose concentration analyzer, said glucose concentration profile comprising a plurality of blood glucose concentrations from at least after a glucose or meal challenge;
- generating a screening factor, wherein said screening factor comprises a mathematical representation of at least a plurality of glucose concentrations
- 10 within said glucose concentration profile, wherein said screening factor is uniquely associated with a state of glucose metabolism disorder, wherein said state of glucose metabolism disorder comprises any of:
- diabetic,
- pre-diabetic;
- 15 ~~normal~~; and
- hyperinsulinemic; and
- classifying the subject into one of said states of glucose metabolism disorder based on evaluation of said screening factor, wherein said screening factor comprises an abstract representation of said glucose concentration profile.
- 20
2. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said plurality of blood glucose concentrations comprises a time series.
3. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said blood
- 25 glucose concentrations comprise actual values.
4. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said blood glucose concentrations comprise relative values.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Serial No. 10/702,236

5. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said screening factor is generated using a parameter, wherein said parameter includes any of:

maximum glucose concentration;

glucose concentration after elapse of a predetermined time interval;

5 area under the curve of the glucose profile; and

area under the curve of the glucose profile over a defined period of time.

6. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 5, wherein said classifying step comprises:

10 comparing said screening factor with a corresponding predetermined value and/or a range of values indicative of either a normal condition or one of a plurality of abnormal conditions.

7. (Cancelled)

15

8. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said generating step comprises:

determining a weight for each of a set of parameters.

20 9. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 8, wherein said step of determining a weight comprises assigning each of said set of parameters a value on either a linear or non-linear scale.

10. (Original) The method of Claim 9, wherein minimum and maximum of said
25 scale correspond to predetermined threshold values for a normal condition and a diabetic condition, respectively.

Serial No. 10/702,236

11. (Original) The method of Claim 9, wherein minimum and maximum of said scale correspond to predetermined threshold values for a low glucose tolerance and a normal condition, respectively.
- 5 12. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 9, wherein maximum of said scale corresponds to predetermined threshold values for a diabetic condition.
13. (Original) The method of Claim 9, wherein ranges of values represented by said scale are established according to standard diagnostic criteria.
- 10 14. (Original) The method of Claim 9, wherein missing parameters are assigned a weight of zero.
- 15 15. (Currently Amended) A computer implemented method for screening a subject for disorders of glucose metabolism, comprising steps of:
- measuring a glucose concentration profile using a glucose concentration analyzer, said glucose concentration profile comprising a plurality of blood glucose concentrations from at least after a glucose or meal challenge;
- generating a screening factor, wherein said screening factor comprises a
- 20 mathematical representation of at least a plurality of glucose concentrations within said glucose concentration profile, wherein said screening factor is uniquely associated with a state of glucose metabolism disorder, wherein said state of glucose metabolism disorder comprises any of:
- diabetic,
- 25 pre-diabetic; and
- hyperinsulinemic; and
- classifying the subject into one of said states of glucose metabolism disorder based on evaluation of said screening factor.

Serial No. 10/702,236

wherein said generating step comprises determining a weight for each of a set of parameters.

wherein said step of determining a weight comprises assigning each of said set of parameters a value on either a linear or non-linear scale, and

5 ~~The method of Claim 9~~, wherein missing data are supplied from historical data.

16. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said step of generating a screening factors uses actual or relative values for parameters and
10 weights.

17. (Currently Amended) A computer implemented method for screening a subject for disorders of glucose metabolism, comprising steps of:

15 measuring a glucose concentration profile using a glucose concentration analyzer, said glucose concentration profile comprising a plurality of blood glucose concentrations from at least after a glucose or meal challenge;

20 generating a screening factor, wherein said screening factor comprises a mathematical representation of at least a plurality of glucose concentrations within said glucose concentration profile, wherein said screening factor is uniquely associated with a state of glucose metabolism disorder, wherein said state of glucose metabolism disorder comprises any of:

diabetic,

pre-diabetic; and

hyperinsulinemic; and

25 classifying the subject into one of said states of glucose metabolism disorder based on evaluation of said screening factor.

~~The method of Claim 1~~, wherein said step of generating a screening factor comprises the step of calculating a weighted average of weighted parameters according to:

Serial No. 10/702,236.

$$SF = \frac{(P_1W_1 + P_2W_2 + P_3W_3 + P_4W_4 + P_5W_5 + P_6W_6)}{(W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + W_4 + W_5 + W_6)}$$

wherein SF is said screening factor, P_1 is a first parameter, said first parameter comprising glucose concentration, P_2 is a second parameter, said
 5 second parameter comprising rate at which glucose concentration rises, P_3 is a third parameter, said third parameter comprising maximum monitored glucose concentration; P_4 is a fourth parameter, said fourth parameter comprising duration that glucose remains elevated; P_5 is a fifth parameter, said fifth parameter comprising rate of decrease of glucose concentration after a peak;
 10 and P_6 is a sixth parameter, said sixth parameter comprising minimum glucose concentration after a maximum; and wherein W_1 , W_2 , W_3 , W_4 , W_5 , W_6 , are weighting factors, wherein at least two of said weighting factors are non-zero.

18. (Cancelled)

15

19. (Cancelled)

20. (Cancelled)

20 21. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 16, further comprising a step of establishing threshold screening limits based on said screening factor.

22. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said mathematical representation is generated using any of:

- 25 an initial fasting glucose concentration;
 a rate of increase of glucose concentration following said glucose challenge;
 a peak monitored glucose concentration;

Serial No. 10/702,236

a duration glucose remains elevated;

a rate of decrease of glucose concentration following said peak concentration;

a minimum glucose concentration following said peak concentration;

5 an area under the curve for the glucose profile; and

an area under the curve during a subset in time of the glucose profile.

23. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of advising the subject of screening results.

10

24. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of advising the subject of health risks from complications likely to result from subject's condition.

15 25. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim 1, wherein said blood glucose concentrations analyzer comprises ~~are obtained using~~ any of:

a minimally invasive blood glucose analyzer; and

an invasive blood glucose analyzer.

20 26. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein a processing device so programmed executes said steps.

27. (Cancelled)

25 28. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim 1, wherein said blood glucose concentrations analyzer comprises ~~are obtained using~~ a noninvasive blood glucose analyzer.

Serial No. 10/702,236

29. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said screening factor comprises a numerical value.
30. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said screening factor comprises representation of a shape of said glucose concentration profile.
31. (Cancelled)
32. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said screening factor comprises the result of an unsupervised classification.
33. (Currently Amended) A computer implemented method for screening a subject for disorders of glucose metabolism, comprising steps of:
- measuring a glucose concentration profile using a glucose concentration analyzer, said glucose concentration profile comprising a plurality of blood glucose concentrations from at least after a glucose or meal challenge;
- generating a screening factor, wherein said screening factor comprises a mathematical representation of at least a plurality of glucose concentrations within said glucose concentration profile, wherein said screening factor is uniquely associated with a state of glucose metabolism disorder, wherein said state of glucose metabolism disorder comprises any of:
- diabetic;
- pre-diabetic; and
- hyperinsulinemic; and
- classifying the subject into one of said states of glucose metabolism disorder based on evaluation of said screening factor.
- The method of Claim 1, wherein said screening factor comprises the result of a supervised classification.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.